

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2008) KLR VOL 4 PART 253 pp. 1679 - 1956

APRIL 2008

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

Nnabuike S. Orji Esq. Managing Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

APRIL CONTD.

10. State V. Azeez p. 1679
11. Ejezie v. Nwabueze p. 1733
12. Ikotun v. Oyekanmi p. 1777
13. Ogli Oko Memorial Farms Limited v. Nigerian Agricultural and Co-operative Bank Limited p. 1803
14. Olatunji v. Owena Bank Plc. p. 1829
15. Orji v. State p. 1843
16. Veepee Industries Limited v. Cocoa Industries Limited p. 1871
17. West African Examination Council v. Adeyanju p. 1905
18. West African Examination Council v. Akinkumi p. 1935

ii ***INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2008) 4 KLR***

ACTIONS - Claims - Relief not claimed - Interest rate claimed - Where not proved - Court cannot grant another rate of interest on the prejudgment debt - As there was no claim of an alternative rate of interest (H8) *Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd* p. 1871

ACTIONS - Commencement of - Proper procedure - Fundamental right breach - Where merely incidental to a main claim - As in the instant case - Proper procedure is writ of summons and pleadings (H4) *WAEC v. Adeyanju* p. 1905

ACTIONS - Competence of - Determining factor - In ascertaining competence of an action - Is plaintiff's claim - And the reliefs sought - Not how couched but essence thereof (H3) *WAEC v. Akinkunmi* p. 1935

ACTIONS - Competence of - Issues of competence of action - Should be resolved first - As they touch on jurisdiction - Without which a suit must be struck out (H1) *WAEC v. Adeyanju* p. 1905

ACTIONS - Counterclaim - Nature of - It is a separate and independent action - Which has to be instituted in accordance with rules of court - By assessment and payment of filing fees (H4) *Ogli oko Mem. Farms Ltd v. Nigerian Agric & Coop. Bank Ltd* p. 1803

ACTIONS - Issues - Competence and jurisdiction - Issues of - Should be accorded priority of consideration - As they render the suit liable to be struck out (H1) *WAEC v. Akinkunmi* p. 1935

ACTIONS - Representative actions - Rule - Flexibility of - Rule permitting representative action - Is a rule of convenience - And ought not to be treated with rigidity - As did the trial judge in the instant case (H1) *Ejezie v. Nwabueze* p. 1733

APPEALS - Issues - Proliferation - Grounds of appeal - Should not be outnumbered by the issues - As was the case in respondent's brief - Issue that is not tied to any ground - Will not be tolerated (H1) *Orji v.*

State p. 1843

APPEALS - Issues - Validity of - Issues for determination - Not raised from grounds of appeal - Are invalid - Any argument in respect thereof - Shall be discountenanced by the Court (H2) WAEC v. Adeyanju p. 1905

APPEALS - Leave - Issues - Fresh point - That was not canvassed before the Court of Appeal - Cannot be made an issue before the Supreme Court - Without obtaining leave of court (H9) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871

APPEALS - Record of appeal - Allegation of error - Proper procedure for - Is not by alleging so in brief of argument - But by a formal impeachment of the record - Before either the Court of Appeal or Supreme Court (H2) Ogli oko Mem. Farms Ltd v. Nigerian Agric & Coop. Bank Ltd p. 1803

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Fundamental rights - Enforcement procedure - Propriety of - Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules 1979 - Is not applicable - Where main claim - Is not on fundamental rights (H2) WAEC v. Akinkunmi p. 1935

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Invocation of - Filing of process - Jurisdiction of court to determine a matter - Is invoked by filing appropriate process - This implies payment of appropriate filing fees (H3) Ogli oko Mem. Farms Ltd v. Nigerian Agric & Coop. Bank Ltd p. 1803

COURTS - Record of proceedings - Binding effect of - Parties and courts - Are bound by the record of proceedings - Which in this case has no evidence - Of motion being moved or granted (H1) Ogli oko Mem. Farms Ltd v. Nigerian Agric & Coop. Bank Ltd p. 1803

COURTS - Trials - Speediness of - Fair hearing - Though speedy trials by our courts - Is the desire of all involved in the administration of justice - It should not be pursued - At the expense of fair hearing (H5) Ogli oko Mem. Farms Ltd v. Nigerian Agric & Coop. Bank Ltd p. 1803

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Alibi - Effect of successful plea - Where the defence of alibi succeeds - There is no need - For the court to consider other elements involved - In the offence charged (H7) State v. Azeez p. 1679

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Alibi - Meaning of - Alibi means that accused was somewhere - Other than where the crime was committed - At the time of commission of the crime - And so could not have participated in the commission (H1) State v. Azeez p. 1679

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Alibi - Proof of - Duty on accused - Upon putting up a defence of alibi - The only duty on an accused - Is to introduce credible evidence - In support thereof - On balance of probability - As done by the accused herein (H2) State v. Azeez p. 1679

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Circumstantial evidence - Though may be conclusive sometimes - Surrounding circumstances must be considered - For they may affect the inference of guilt - To ground conviction - It must inter alia - Point irresistibly to the guilt of accused (H2) Orji v. State p. 1843

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Murder - Proof beyond reasonable doubt - Must be achieved before accused can be convicted - Present case is riddled with doubt - Lower courts convicted - Without considering all prevailing factors (H3) Orji v. State p. 1843

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Liability for crime - Joint criminal act - Where there is a joint criminal act - Prosecution has to either prove - Criminal intention on the part of each accused person - Or prove common intention - To warrant liability of all accused persons (H6) State v. Azeez p. 1679

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Conviction - Where court entertains doubt on guilt of accused - It should be resolved in his

favour - As in this case (H4) Orji v. State p. 1843

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Standard of - Burden of proving alleged crime beyond reasonable doubt - Rests always on the prosecution - The same has not been discharged - From the evidence on record (H3) State v. Azeez p. 1679

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Witnesses - Duty to call - Prosecution is not under duty - To call all conceivable witnesses - But it is duty bound - To call all material witnesses (H4) State v. Azeez p. 1679

DEBTS - Interest rate - Uncertainty of - "About" - Meaning and definition - Claim on rate of interest - Has to be proved by admissible evidence - And not by mere uncertain averment of a law clerk - Using the phrase "about" (H5) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871

DOCUMENTS - Certification of - Interest rate claim - In respect of debt arising from sale of goods - A certified document from the bank that is to be paid the interest - Is a better proof than mere uncertain averment by a law clerk (H5) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871

ESTOPPEL - By judgment - Plea of - How made - Though estoppel by judgment - Has to be specifically pleaded - It is not required to be pleaded in any form - Therefore once it is pleaded - Court should consider both res judicata and issue estoppel (H1) Ikotun v. Oyekanmi p. 1777

ESTOPPEL - Issue estoppel - Evidence Act, s. 55(1) - Purport of the section - Is meant to allow for the plea of issue estoppel - Where a plea of res judicata is not technically possible - As in the instant case (H4) Ikotun v. Oyekanmi p. 1777

ESTOPPEL - Issue estoppel - Evidential requirements - Unlike in a plea of res judicata - A party relying on issue estoppel - Need not prove - That the res, the claims and the parties - Are the same in the previous

suit - As in subsequent suit (H2) Ikotun v. Oyekanmi p. 1777

ESTOPPEL - Nature of - Effect of the plea - Estoppel prevents a party - From proving facts in contradiction to his earlier acts - As such it is a shield never a sword - It has no place in a statement of claim (H3) Ikotun v. Oyekanmi p. 1777

EVIDENCE - Admission - Averring that one is not in a position to deny or admit - Though an insufficient traverse - Where there is further particulars in pleadings - That show denial of that fact - Admission will not be inferred (H7) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871

EVIDENCE - Affidavits - Averments - Interest rate - Deposition that did not state source of belief or information - Is no evidence - Court of Appeal rightly reversed the decision (H6) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871

EVIDENCE - Evaluation of - Witnesses - Testimony of interested parties - Court has a duty to approach with caution - Evaluation of evidence led by parties - Who may have their own interests to serve (H5) State v. Azeez p. 1679

EVIDENCE - Facts - Burden of proof - Is placed on a party who would otherwise fail - And on the person who wishes the court to believe - On the existence of that fact - Save where the fact is admitted (H2) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS - Enforcement of - Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules 1979 - Applicability of - Where reliefs sought - Show that breach of fundamental right is merely incidental - The Rules are not applicable (H3) WAEC v. Adeyanju p. 1905

JUDGMENTS - Effective date of - Payment of money - Sheriffs and Civil Process Act s. 20(1) - Judgment of court to pay money - Takes effect from the day it is given - Unless it is otherwise ordered by the court (H2) Olatunji v. Owena Bank Plc. p. 1829

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2008) 4 KLR vii

JUDGMENTS - Execution of - Writ of *fifa* - When due - A writ of *fifa* may issue for enforcement of a judgment - Immediately upon pronouncement of the judgment - As a matter of course - Without leave (H1) *Olatunji v. Owena Bank Plc.* p. 1829

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Justice - Ekwunife case - That was not decided *per incuriam* - Still remains good law and binding - Save Supreme Court judicially departs from it (H10) *Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd* p. 1871

MOTIONS - Affidavits - Depositions therein - Take the place of averments in a pleadings - Where a matter is decided under a motion - Mere averment does not prove a fact - Seeing that pleadings is not evidence (H4) *Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd* p. 1871

PARTIES - Plaintiffs - Representative actions - Interests - Representatives and those represented - Must have same interests in the matter - Which is as stated in statement of claim - Representatives are not allowed to go contrary to it - Unless in unanimity (H3) *Ejezie v. Nwabueze* p. 1733

PARTIES - Representative actions - Plaintiffs - At cross purposes - Power of court in such suits - High Court Rules of Eastern Nigeria O. VI, rr. 1 & 3 - Empowers Court to resolve dispute - By ordering joinder of parties - According to perceived interests (H2) *Ejezie v. Nwabueze* p. 1733

RULES OF COURT - Applicability - Judgment Enforcement Rules O. IV, r. I (1) & (2) provisions - Do not apply to enforcement of judgment - For the payment of money (H3) *Olatunji v. Owena Bank Plc.* p. 1829

SALE OF GOODS - Debts - Interest - Significance - Definition and types of interest - It is capable of bearing variegation meanings and concepts - It connotes compensation for the use of borrowed money (H1) *Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd* p. 1871

SUMMARY PROCEEDINGS - Debts - Proof - Interest rate claimed in

this case - Was not proved vide averments - In the affidavit evidence (H3) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871
Workers p. 655

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

African Charter on Human and People's Rights (Ratification and Enforcement) Act, Cap 10, L. F. N, 1990, Article 7 WAEC v. Adeyanju p. 1905; Article 7 WAEC v. Akinkunmi p. 1935

Benue State High Court Civil Procedure Rules, 1988; O. 25 r. 3(4), O. 27. r. 2(1), 9 Ogli oko Mem. Farms Ltd v. Nigerian Agric & Coop. Bank Ltd p. 1803

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979; s. 33(1) Ogli oko Mem. Farms Ltd v. Nigerian Agric & Coop. Bank Ltd p. 1803

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999; sections 36, 46, and 251 WAEC v. Adeyanju p. 1905; s. 233 (3) Ejezie v. Nwabueze p. 1733; ss. 36, 42, 46 WAEC v. Akinkunmi p. 1935

Court Appeal Rules, O. 3 r. 14 (1), (2) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871

Criminal Code, Vol. II, Cap. 30, Laws of Eastern Nigeria, 1963 s. 319 Orji v. State p. 1843

Evidence Act, Cap 112, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1990, ss. 54 and 55(1) Ikotun v. Oyekanmi p. 1777; s. 138 Orji v. State p. 1843; ss. 75, 86, 88, 89, 135, 136, 137, 139, 155 (1) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871; ss. 138 (i) and (d) State v. Azeez p. 1679; ss. 86, 87, 88, 89, 93 Ejezie v. Nwabueze p. 1733

Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules, 1979, O. 1 r. 2

& 3 WAEC v. Akinkunmi p. 1935

Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules, 1979; Order 1 Rules 2 and 3 WAEC v. Adeyanju p. 1905

High Court Rules of Eastern Nigeria, 1963, O. 3 rr. 1& 3 Ejezie v. Nwabueze p. 1733

Judgment (Enforcement) Rules made under the Sheriffs and Civil (Process) Act O. IV r. 1 (1) and (2) Olatunji v. Owena Bank Plc. p. 1829

Lagos State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, 1972, O. 2 r. 4, O. 10 r. 1 (a), O. 28 r. 6 Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871

Penal Code, ss. 97 (i) (c), 80, 81, 221, and 248 (i) State v. Azeez p. 1679

Sheriffs and Civil (Process) Act, Cap. 407, LFN, 1990, ss. 20(1), (2) and (3), and 94(1) Olatunji v. Owena Bank Plc. p. 1829

Supreme Court Rules (as amended in 1999); O. 6 r. 5 (i) (a), (2) & (3) and O. 27 r. 2 (i) State v. Azeez p. 1679

Supreme Court Rules, 1985, O. 2 R 9 (1) Ejezie v. Nwabueze p. 1733

Supreme Court Rules, 1999, O. 6 r. 4 (4) Veepee Ind. Ltd v. Cocoa Ind. Ltd p. 1871